

Spatial Correlations

Correlation between spin i and j

$$G(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) := \langle (s_i - \langle s_i \rangle)(s_j - \langle s_j \rangle) \rangle$$

$$= \langle s_i s_j \rangle - \langle s_i \rangle \langle s_j \rangle$$

Write the partition function

$$Z(\{h_i\}) = \sum_{\{s_i\}} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H} + \beta \sum_i h_i s_i}$$

with the bookkeeping fields h_i

We recover the original partition function $Z = \sum_{\{s_i\}} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}$

by setting all $h_i \equiv 0$

It is understood that this will be done at the end of all calculations

We find

$$\langle s_i \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\{s_j\}} s_i e^{-\beta \mathcal{H} + \beta \sum_j h_j s_j} = \frac{1}{\beta Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial h_i}$$

$$\langle s_i \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial h_i} = - \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_i}$$

$$\langle s_i s_j \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta^2 Z} \frac{\partial^2 Z}{\partial h_i \partial h_j}$$

such that

$$G(r_i, r_j) = \frac{1}{\beta^2 Z} \frac{\partial^2 Z}{\partial h_i \partial h_j} - \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial h_i} \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial h_j}$$

We usually assume the system to be homogeneous, then

$$G(r_i, r_j) = G(r_i - r_j)$$

For widely separated points

$$|r_i - r_j| \rightarrow \infty$$

we assume the spins to become uncorrelated

$$\langle s_i s_j \rangle \rightarrow \langle s_i \rangle \langle s_j \rangle$$

and thus

$$G(r \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0$$

Consider a fluid of N particles in a volume V . Its density field $\rho(\underline{r})$ is normalized such that

$$\int_V \rho(\underline{r}) d^d r = N$$

Define the correlation function

$$G(\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}_2) = \frac{1}{\rho^2} [\langle \rho(\underline{r}_1) \rho(\underline{r}_2) \rangle - \rho^2]$$

where $\rho = N/V$ is the mean density

We can also add bookkeeping fields to the Landau free energy functional

$$\mathcal{F}[M] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}[M] + \int d\underline{r} h(\underline{r}) M(\underline{r})$$

and we have

$$Z[h] = \int \mathcal{D}[M(\underline{r})] e^{-\beta \mathcal{F}[M] + \beta \int d\underline{r} h(\underline{r}) M(\underline{r})}$$

Define the functional derivative of a functional $F[M]$ as

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta M(\underline{r})} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F[M(\underline{r}) + \epsilon \delta(\underline{r} - \underline{r}')] - F[M(\underline{r})]}{\epsilon}$$

in the usual way.

What we need from functional calculus is

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta M(\underline{r})} \int d\underline{r}' M(\underline{r}') = n M(\underline{r})$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta M(\underline{r})} \int d\underline{r}' M(\underline{r}') = 1$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta M(\underline{r})} M(\underline{r}') = \delta(\underline{r} - \underline{r}')$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta M(\underline{r})} \int d\underline{r}' \frac{1}{2} (\nabla M(\underline{r}'))^2 = -\nabla^2 M(\underline{r})$$

up to surface terms

Response Functions

Consider we have the free energy $F = F[h]$ including the bookkeeping fields $h(x)$ which we find from minimizing the Landau free energy $\mathcal{F}[M, h]$, i.e.

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta M} [M, 0] \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

and then evaluating

$$\mathcal{F}[M, h] \equiv F[h]$$

In analogy to $\langle \epsilon_i \rangle = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial h_i}$ we find

$$\langle M(x) \rangle = -\frac{\delta F}{\delta h(x)}$$

More intuitively: If we change $h(x)$ by a small amount $\delta h(x)$

the free energy changes by

$$\delta F = - \int d\underline{r} \langle M(\underline{r}) \rangle \delta h(\underline{r})$$

We can introduce a generalized susceptibility

$$\chi(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2) = \frac{\delta \langle M(\underline{r}_1) \rangle}{\delta h(\underline{r}_2)} = - \frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta h(\underline{r}_1) \delta h(\underline{r}_2)}$$

which can be read as

$$\delta \langle M(\underline{r}_1) \rangle = \int d\underline{r}_2 \chi(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2) \delta h(\underline{r}_2)$$

i.e. the response function χ

describes how the magnetization $\langle M(\underline{r}) \rangle$ responds to a small change in the field $\delta h(\underline{r})$

Because the response is assumed to be linear in δh , this is an instance of

linear response theory

Interestingly we have

$$\chi(r_1, r_2) = - \frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta h(r_1) \delta h(r_2)}$$

$$= k_B T \left[\frac{1}{Z} \frac{\delta^2 Z}{\delta h(r_1) \delta h(r_2)} - \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\delta Z}{\delta h(r_1)} \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\delta Z}{\delta h(r_2)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{k_B T} \left[\langle M(r_1) M(r_2) \rangle - \langle M(r_1) \rangle \langle M(r_2) \rangle \right]$$

$$=: \frac{1}{k_B T} G(r_1, r_2)$$

where $G(r_1, r_2)$ is the lattice (continuum generalization of our two-point spin-correlation function $G(r_i, r_j) = \langle s_i s_j \rangle - \langle s_i \rangle \langle s_j \rangle$)

The relation

$$\underline{k_B T \chi(r_1, r_2) = G(r_1, r_2)}$$

between the correlation function G and the response function is a central result of linear response theory

For a homogeneous system we can also consider the correlation- and response functions in the Fourier domain, $\tilde{\chi}(\underline{k})$, $\tilde{G}(\underline{k})$.

The original susceptibility is then

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial H} \equiv \chi = \tilde{\chi}(\underline{k}=0)$$

and with $\tilde{\chi}(0) = \int d\underline{r} \chi(\underline{r})$

we obtain a sum rule

$$\chi = \beta \int d\underline{r} G(\underline{r})$$

The correlation function from Landau Theory

We start from

$$\mathcal{F} = \int d\underline{r} [A M^2(\underline{r}) + B M^4(\underline{r}) - H(\underline{r}) M(\underline{r}) + \kappa (\nabla M(\underline{r}))^2]$$

The stationarity condition $\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta M} [\langle M \rangle] = 0$

then reads

$$2At \langle M(\underline{r}) \rangle + 4B \langle M(\underline{r}) \rangle^3 - H(\underline{r}) - 2\kappa \nabla^2 \langle M(\underline{r}) \rangle = 0$$

We calculate the response function

$$\chi(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2) = \frac{\delta \langle M(\underline{r}_1) \rangle}{\delta H(\underline{r}_2)}$$

by implicit differentiation

$$\frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{F}}{\delta [M(\underline{r}_1)]^2} \chi(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2) = - \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{F}}{\delta H(\underline{r}_2) \delta M(\underline{r}_1)}$$

$$[2At + 12B \langle M(\underline{r}_1) \rangle^2 - 2\kappa \nabla^2] \chi(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2) = \delta(\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}_2)$$

i.e., χ is a Green's function

Equivalently

$$[2At + 12B \langle M(\underline{r}_1) \rangle^2 - 2\kappa \nabla^2] G(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2) = k_B T \delta(\underline{r}_1 - \underline{r}_2)$$

Approximate $\langle M(\underline{r}_1) \rangle^2 \approx M^2$

Above T_c , we have $M=0$ and below T_c , $M^2 = -\frac{At}{2B}$ such that we can write

$$(-\nabla^2 + \xi^{-2}) G(r_1, r_2) = \frac{k_B T}{2\kappa} \delta(r_1 - r_2)$$

with

$$\xi = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{At}} & \text{for } t > 0 \\ \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{2At}} & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

We will see soon that ξ is aptly called the correlation length and we observe here that it diverges at the critical point

$$\xi \sim |t|^{-\nu}$$

with another critical exponent

$$\nu = 1/2$$

Let's solve the equation for $G(r)$
 Assume we have a homogeneous
 and isotropic system such that
 $G = G(r)$ is a function of distance only.
 In spherical coordinates we have

$$\left[-\frac{1}{r^{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{d-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\xi^{-2}}{\xi} \right] G(r) = \frac{k_B T}{2\pi} \delta^d(r)$$

with $\xi := r/\xi$ we have

$$\left[-\frac{1}{\xi^{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \xi^{d-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + 1 \right] G(\xi) = \frac{k_B T}{2\pi} \underbrace{\xi^{2-d}}_{=: d} \delta(\xi)$$

In $d=1$ we find $G(\xi) = d e^{-\xi}$ and for
 $d \geq 2$

$$G(\xi) = C \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \xi^{\frac{d-2}{2}} K_{\frac{d-2}{2}}(\xi)$$

where the $K_\nu(\xi)$ are spherical
Bessel functions

-57-

Away from the critical point ξ is finite and we can look at distances $r \gg \xi$.

From the mathematical literature one obtains the asymptotic result

$$K_n(g) \sim \sqrt{\pi n g} e^{-g} \quad \text{for } g \rightarrow \infty$$

and thus

$$G(r \gg \xi) \sim \frac{k_B T}{2\kappa} \xi^{(3-d)/2} \times \frac{e^{-r/\xi}}{r^{(d-2)/2}}$$

which explains why we called ξ the correlation length

At the critical point $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ so we have to consider $r \ll \xi$.

Here we have

$$K_0(g) \sim -\ln g$$

$$K_n(g) \sim g^{-n} \quad \text{for } n > 0$$